IMPULSIVE LOADING ON REINFORCED CONCRETE SLABS

submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy to the

Faculty of Engineering Department of Civil and Structural Engineering University of Sheffield by

N. Duranovic

February 1994

CONTENTS

Page

| List of Figures | VII |
|-----------------|-----|
| List of Tables | X |
| List of Plates | XI |
| | |

1. INTRODUCTION

2.

| 1.0 | General Introduction | | | | | | | |
|------|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1.1 | Dynamic loading | | | | | | | |
| 1.2 | Impact and impulse loading in the field of Civil Engineering | | | | | | | |
| 1.3 | R.C. slabs under transient loading | | | | | | | |
| 1.4 | Present investigation | | | | | | | |
| LITE | LITERATURE SURVEY | | | | | | | |
| 2.1 | Impact and blast loading of R.C. slabs | | | | | | | |
| 2.2 | Material properties under high rates of strain | 17 | | | | | | |
| | 2.2.1 Concrete properties | 21 | | | | | | |
| | 2.2.1.1 Stress-strain diagram | 21 | | | | | | |
| | 2.2.1.2 Compressive strength | 23 | | | | | | |
| | 2.2.1.3 Tensile strength | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.2.1.4 Poisson ratio | 31 | | | | | | |
| | 2.2.1.5 Energy absorption and modulus of rupture | 31 | | | | | | |
| | 2.2.2 Reinforcement properties | 32 | | | | | | |
| 2.3 | Local response of R.C. slabs to impact and close range blast loading | 34 | | | | | | |
| | 2.3.1 Stress-wave propagation | 34 | | | | | | |
| | 2.3.2 Cracking | 36 | | | | | | |
| | 2.3.3 Penetration | 38 | | | | | | |
| | 2.3.4 Shear plug formation | 38 | | | | | | |
| 2.4 | Overall response of R.C. slabs to impact and close range blast loading | | | | | | | |

| | 2.4.1 | Inertial loading | 40 | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----|--|--|
| | 2.4.2 | Resistance function | 41 | | |
| 2.5 | Blast pressure characteristics | | | | |
| | 2.5.1 | Introduction | 45 | | |
| | 2.5.2 | Blast wave scaling and parameters | 48 | | |
| | 2.5.3 | Interaction of shock waves with plane surfaces | 49 | | |
| | 2.5.4 | Loading due to a short range explosion | 51 | | |
| 2.6 | Modelling considerations | | | | |
| | 2.6.1 | Dimensional analysis | 54 | | |
| | 2.6.2 | Theory of modelling for structures exposed to impact and blast | 55 | | |
| 2.7 | Some | theoretical approaches to the problem | 57 | | |
| | 2.7.1 | Timoshenko (1951) | 57 | | |
| | 2.7.2 | Goldsmith (1960) | 57 | | |
| | 2.7.3 | Norris (1964) | 58 | | |
| | 2.7.4 | Ezra (Johnson, 1972) | 59 | | |
| | 2.7.5 | Popov (1976) | 60 | | |
| | 2.7.6 | Symonds (Watson, 1991) | 61 | | |
| 2.8 Standard recomendations | | | | | |
| EXPE | RIMEN | NTAL TECHNIQUES | | | |
| 3.0 | Introd | luction | 65 | | |
| 3.1 | Test sj | pecimen | 66 | | |
| | 3.1.1 | Slab dimensions | 66 | | |
| | 3.1.2 | Materials | 68 | | |
| | | 3.1.2.1 Concrete | 68 | | |
| | | 3.1.2.1.1 Microconcrete mix | 69 | | |
| | | 3.1.2.1.2 Macroconcrete mix | 73 | | |
| | | 3.1.2.2 Steel reinforcement | 76 | | |
| | | 3.1.2.2.1 H.Y. grade 460 deformed reinforcement bars | | | |
| 77 | | | | | |

3.

3.1.2.2.2 H.Y. BS4483 square reinforcement mesh 77

| | | 3.1.3 | Fabrication of the specimen | 79 |
|---|-----|---------|---|----|
| | | | 3.1.3.1 Reinforcement mesh | 79 |
| | | | 3.1.3.2 Preparation of moulds | 80 |
| | | | 3.1.3.3 Concrete mixing, casting and curing | 81 |
| | | | 3.1.3.4 Control specimen | 81 |
| | | | 3.1.3.5 Preparations prior to testing | 82 |
| | 3.2 | Test in | strumentation | 82 |
| | | 3.2.1 | Displacement transducers | 82 |
| | | 3.2.2 | Digital storage oscilloscopes | 83 |
| | | 3.2.3 | Strain gauges | 84 |
| | | 3.2.4 | D.CBridge amplifaer - 359 - TA | 86 |
| | | 3.2.5 | Microswitches | 86 |
| | | 3.2.6 | Slotted opto-switches | 87 |
| | | 3.2.7 | Universal counter timer | |
| 8 | 87 | | | |
| | | 3.2.8 | D.C. Power supply | 88 |
| | | 3.2.9 | Photec IV - 16mm High Speed Camera | |
| 8 | 88 | | | |
| | | 3.2.10 | FS-10 Firing system | 89 |
| | | 3.2.11 | Pressure transducers | 90 |
| | | 3.2.12 | Hycam - K 2001 R - 16mm High Speed Camera | |
| Ģ | 91 | | | |
| | 3.3 | Test ar | rangements | 92 |
| | | 3.3.1 | Support conditions | 92 |
| | | | 3.3.1.1 Free supports | 92 |
| | | | 3.3.1.2 Inner supports | 93 |
| | | | 3.3.1.3 Fixed support | 94 |
| | | 3.3.2 | Loading conditions | 95 |

| | | 3.3.2.1 Impact test | 96 |
|------|--------|--|-----|
| | | 3.3.2.2 Impulse test | 98 |
| | | 3.3.2.2.1 Test arena | 98 |
| | | 3.3.2.2.2 Explosive charge | 99 |
| | | 3.3.2.3 Static test | 100 |
| | 3.3.3 | Specimen response record | 101 |
| | | 3.3.3.1 Impact load measurements | 102 |
| | | 3.3.3.2 Hammer velocity measurements | |
| 105 | | | |
| | | 3.3.3.3 Blast pressure measurements | 106 |
| | | 3.3.3.4 Reinforcement strain measurements | 107 |
| | | 3.3.3.5 Deflection measurements | 108 |
| | | 3.3.3.6 High-speed filming | 111 |
| | | 3.3.3.7 After test damage assessment | 113 |
| | 3.3.4 | Test set up, procedure and event synchronisation | |
| 113 | | | |
| | | 3.3.4.1 Impact test | 113 |
| | | 3.3.4.2 Impulse test | 116 |
| | 3.3.5 | Experimental programme and variables | |
| 117 | | | |
| EXPE | ERIMEN | NTAL RESULTS | |
| 4.0 | Introd | luction | 120 |
| 4.1 | Impac | et tests | 121 |
| | 4.1.1 | 1:2.5 Scale slabs | 121 |
| | | 4.1.1.1 Pressure bar record and velocity measurement | 122 |
| | | 4.1.1.2 Displacement record | 124 |
| | | 4.1.1.3 Reinforcement strain record | 125 |
| | | 4.1.1.4 High speed films | 128 |
| | | 4.1.1.5 Crack patterns and slab cross-sections | 130 |
| | 4.1.2 | 1:1 Scale slabs | 132 |

4.

| | | | 4.1.2.1 Pressure bar record and velocity measurement | 133 | |
|----|------------|--------|---|-----|--|
| | | | 4.1.2.2 Displacement record | 135 | |
| | | | 4.1.2.3 Strain record | 137 | |
| | | | 4.1.2.4 Crack pattern | 138 | |
| | | 4.1.3 | Conclusions | 139 | |
| | 4.2 | Impul | se tests | 140 | |
| | | 4.2.1 | 1:2.5 Scale slabs | 140 | |
| | | | 4.2.1.1 Blast pressure records | 142 | |
| | | | 4.2.1.2 Displacement record | 145 | |
| | | | 4.2.1.3 Reinforcement strain record | 147 | |
| | | | 4.2.1.4 High speed films | 149 | |
| | | | 4.2.1.5 Crack patterns and slab cross-sections | 152 | |
| | | 4.2.2 | 1:1 Scale slabs | 154 | |
| | | | 4.2.2.1 Displacement record | 154 | |
| | | | 4.2.2.2 Reinforcement strain record | 156 | |
| | | | 4.2.2.3 Crack patterns | 157 | |
| | | 4.2.3 | Conclusions | 158 | |
| 5. | DISCUSSION | | | | |
| | 5.1 | Introd | luction | 160 | |
| | | 5.1.1 | Loading function | 161 | |
| | | | 5.1.1.1 Calculation of blast loading function | 161 | |
| | | | 5.1.1.2 Attenuation of the loading function and inertia | 168 | |
| | | 5.1.2 | Dynamic character of material behaviour | 169 | |
| | | 5.1.3 | Dual nature of the slab response | | |
| | 170 | | | | |
| | 5.2 | Local | response | 171 | |
| | | 5.2.1 | Formation of an area of local response | 172 | |
| | | | 5.2.1.1 High speed films | 173 | |
| | | | 5.2.1.2 Stress wave theory approach | 175 | |
| | | 5.2.2 | Development of cracking within the area of local response | 181 | |

| | 5.2.3 | Ultimate state conditions in the area of local response and failure | | | | | |
|------|-----------|---|------|--|--|--|--|
| 183 | | | | | | | |
| | | 5.2.3.1 Spalling, scabbing and perforation of the slab | 183 | | | | |
| | | 5.2.3.2 Prediction of the damage | 193 | | | | |
| | 5.2.4 | Load transfer from the area of local response to the rest of the slab | 197 | | | | |
| 5.3 | Overa | ll response of the slab | 199 | | | | |
| | 5.3.1 | Crack type analysis | 200 | | | | |
| | | 5.3.1.1 Top surface cracks | 200 | | | | |
| | | 5.3.1.2 Bottom surface cracks | 202 | | | | |
| | | 5.3.1.3 Cross sectional cracks | 205 | | | | |
| | 5.3.2 | Deflection analysis | 205 | | | | |
| | 5.3.3 | Energy considerations due to close range explosion | 217 | | | | |
| 5.4 | Conne | ection between local and flexural response | | | | | |
| 217 | | | | | | | |
| 5.5 | Times | sequence of events in the blast loading of R.C. slabs and | 219 | | | | |
| 5.6 | Modelling | | 222 | | | | |
| | 5.6.1 | Local damage | 222 | | | | |
| | 5.6.2 | Overall flexural damage | | | | | |
| 222 | | | | | | | |
| | 5.6.3 | Displacement record | 223 | | | | |
| CON | CLUSIC | ONS | | | | | |
| 6.1 | Modelling | | 224 | | | | |
| 6.2 | Instru | mentation | | | | | |
| 225 | | | | | | | |
| 6.3 | Dynan | nic properties of materials and the blast loading function | 226 | | | | |
| 6.4 | Local | response | 227 | | | | |
| 6.5 | Overa | ll flexural response | 230 | | | | |
| FUTU | JRE WO | DRK | 232 | | | | |
| REFI | ERENCI | ES | | | | | |
| APPE | ENDICE | ES | ICES | | | | |

6.

7.

- Appendix A1 1:1 Scale impact test results
- Appendix A2 1:2.5 Scale impact test results
- Appendix A3 Impact tests High speed films
- Appendix B1 1:1 Scale impulse test results
- Appendix B2 1:2.5 Scale impulse test results
- Appendix B3 Impulse tests High speed films
- Appendix C1 Static test results
- Appendix D1 Staff list, Expenditure and Publications
- Appendix D2 Materials and equipment suppliers, and Summary of Equipment Specification
- Appendix D3 Inventory of major items of expenditure for the experimental programm Inventory of explosive stores provided by DRA and used in testing programm

SUMMARY

Reinforced concrete slabs were exposed to blast and impact loading in order to access modes of slab behaviour under these extreme dynamic loadings.

Two sizes of specimens were used and smaller slabs modelled the large slabs at 1: 2.5 scale.

Impact loads were produced by free falling hammer impacting coaxially onto a cylindrical bar of steel placed at rest in the centre of the slab. The steel bar was instrumented with electrical strain gauges which recorded the stress pulses produced by the impact.

Blast loads were produced by explosive charges made of Plastic Explosive PE4. In most cases the charge had the hemispherical shape and was placed centrally above the slab at close range standoffs i.e. up to 10 times the radius of the charge.

Additional blast tests were conducted in order to monitor the transient and spatial pressure distribution across the slab by using the pressure gauges placed in replica steel slab.

Transient deflections of the slabs under both types of load were obtained using long stroke displacement transducers, while transient strains in the steel reinforcement of the slabs were obtained using electrical resistance strain gauges bonded to the steel bars at mid span point.

A rotating prism high speed camera was used to film the damage on some of the small scale specimens at rates of up to 10,000 pictures per second.

The Hopkinson pressure bar tests were used to obtain dynamic characteristics of concretes of both scales at high rates of loading.

An analytical function of the spatial and transient blast pressure distribution based on detonation pressure of PE4 was established and is in close agreement to experimentally measured results.

The nature of the local and overall failure were discussed and time sequence of slab failure established for the case of explosive loading.

A crack pattern that occurs soon after the explosion in area of local failure has been established from the high speed films while the overall deflected shape was obtained from the displacement vs time records.

After test scab sizes and slab perforations were used to establish a relation between the slab thickness, amount of explosive and the slab damage in respect to scabbing and perforation.

The displacement records and the shape of after test damage provided the bases for comments on "gravity neglected - ultimate strength modelling law that was employed in this research.